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We will improve the lives of patients, the health of communities, and the joy of the health care workforce.
Our Mission
To improve health and health care worldwide.

Our Vision
Everyone has the best care and health possible.

Who We Are
IHI is a leading innovator in health and health care improvement worldwide, joining forces with the IHI community to spark bold, inventive ways to improve the health of individuals and populations.
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Mega trends

- Chronic disease
- Ageing and population growth
- Volume to value
- **Economics** (tighter budgets, rising costs of healthcare)
- Consumerism and Personalization
- Healthcare everywhere
- Wellness
Are we providing the best care?

- 54 year old gentleman with a history of diabetes, high blood pressure and recurrent lung clots
- Had an IVC (inferior vena cava) filter and was on Tinzaparin (low molecular weight Heparin)
- Admitted for an unrelated urological problem and then discharged to attend the clinic
- Attended the ER for a refill of medication. All his medication was renewed except his Tinzaparin.
- About 10 days later he presented with signs and symptoms of acute thrombosis in his left leg.
- Patient required an above-knee amputation
Six domains of Quality (IOM 2001)

- **Safe**: Avoiding harm to patients from the care that is intended to help them.

- **Effective**: Providing services based on scientific knowledge to all who could benefit and refraining from providing services to those not likely to benefit (avoiding underuse and misuse, respectively).

- **Patient-centered**: Providing care that is respectful of and responsive to individual patient preferences, needs, and values and ensuring that patient values guide all clinical decisions.

- **Timely**: Reducing waits and sometimes harmful delays for both those who receive and those who give care.

- **Efficient**: Avoiding waste, including waste of equipment, supplies, ideas, and energy.

- **Equitable**: Providing care that does not vary in quality because of personal characteristics such as gender, ethnicity, geographic location, and socioeconomic status.
Two sides of the same coin

Effectiveness:
Deliver everything that will help, and only what will help. The goal is 100%

Safety: Do no harm. The goal is 0 Events
Global burden of unsafe care

- 2000: *To Err is Human* avoided deaths in US hospitals due to harm (1)

- 2013: UK Francis Report long-standing quality and safety failures leading to unnecessary harm and suffering (2)

- 2013: Estimated global burden of medical error = 42.7 million adverse events (10% of all hospitalizations) (3)

- 2016: Medical error as 3rd leading cause of death in US (after heart disease and cancer) with c250,000 deaths per year (4)

4. Makary MA, Daniel, M (2016) Medical error the third leading cause of death in the US. BMJ. 3;353
## Cost of Safety (AHRQ 2013)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hospital Acquired Condition</th>
<th>Estimated Additional Cost* per HAC</th>
<th>Estimated Additional Inpatient Mortality per HAC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adverse Drug Events</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catheter-Associated Urinary Tract Infections</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Line-Associated Bloodstream Infections</td>
<td>$17,000</td>
<td>.185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falls</td>
<td>$7,234</td>
<td>.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obstetric Adverse Events</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>.0015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure Ulcers</td>
<td>$17,000</td>
<td>.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical Site Infections</td>
<td>$21,000</td>
<td>.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia</td>
<td>$21,000</td>
<td>.144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative Venous Thromboembolism</td>
<td>$8,000</td>
<td>.104</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Proven Methodology: Science of Improvement

W. Edwards Deming
1900-1993

API’s Model for Improvement

1. What are we trying to accomplish?
2. How will we know that the change is an improvement?
3. What changes can we make that will result in improvement?

Plan
Do
Study
Act
Improvement vs Control

(Quality Control)  (Quality Improvement)

Source: Robert Lloyd, Ph.D.
SPSP Outcome Aim Set In 2008

- Mortality: 15% reduction
- Adverse Events: 30% reduction
  - Ventilator Associated Pneumonia: 0 or 300 days between
  - Central Line Bloodstream Infection: 0 or 300 days between
  - Blood Sugars w/in Range (ITU/HDU): 80% or > w/in range
  - MRSA Bloodstream Infection: 30% reduction
  - Crash Calls: 30% reduction

- To be achieved across the nation by 2012
- Mortality aim amended to 20% by 2015
Hospital Standardised Mortality Ratio 10/06 – 9/14
%30 Day Mortality of ICD 10 (A40/A41)

Mean 1: 33.7%
Mean 2: 29.1%
Mean 3: 23.3%

29.1% to 23.3% = 19.9% reduction from launch of Collaborative
National reduction in “4C” antibacterials in primary care

Source: Scottish Antimicrobial Prescribing Group Primary Care Prescribing Indicators reports, 2010 and 2012-13

Target antibacterials:
1. Cephalosporins
2. Ciprofloxacin
3. Clindamycin
4. Co-amoxiclav
Quarterly rates of C Diff per 100,000 Bed Days (65+)

82% reduction in c-diff cases in the over-65s since 2007

Source: Health Protection Scotland
Continuous Value Management (CVM)
1. Standardize the Model
   - Map Process
   - Understand variation
   - Redesign process

2. Optimize Efficiency
   - Track the costs of the care process
   - Reduce waste, improve performance

3. Optimize Capacity
   - Excess demand?

   - Yes
     - Optimize Access Points
   - No
     - Reduce or Redeploy

Key Concepts
- Simplification
- Coordination
- Substitution
- Improved decision-making

Check Continuously:
- Is quality high and consistent?
- Is staff engagement high? What is the impact on job satisfaction?

A Framework to Continuously Improve Value by Reducing Cost & Improving Quality

Do you have a standard care model?

No

Yes
Aim Statement: Reduce costs of TJR by 5% (denominator) while maintaining or improving clinical and patient reported outcomes (numerator) by Dec 2014.

Additional detail:
- IHI efforts with Harvard Business School and 32 orthopedic teams
- Applied time-driven activity-based costing to estimate the cost of delivery care for hip and knee replacement
- Applied process improvement techniques to reduce costs and improve outcomes over time
- Evidence of limitations of ‘Biopsy” model of TDABC and need for new method of “Continuous Value Improvement”
JRLC: Examples of Value Added

**STRAUB CLINIC & HOSPITAL**

- 159-bed specialty hospital in Honolulu, HI
- Standardization steps:
  - routine dosing of pain medications for pain control,
  - bedside discharge medication delivery,
  - early inpatient rehab referral,
  - clearer communication with patients regarding d/c expectations and timing
- Results include change in LOS for hips from 2.43 to 1.92, improvement in scores for patient ability to control pain from 84% to 96%

**GUNDERSEN HEALTH SYSTEM**

- Comprehensive health network including 2 hospitals, 4 health centers, and 27 clinics in Midwestern U.S.
- Standardizations steps:
  - Enhanced communication between patients, teams, and families
- Results include improvement in Hip and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (HOOS) of 44.4 points (median change)
- Also significant improvement in market share and contribution margins
Conclusion

- A System design that is one aim with three dimensions:
  - Improving the health of the populations;
  - Improving the patient experience of care
  - Reducing the per capita cost of health care.
When you come upon a wall, throw your hat over it, and then go get your hat.

— Irish Proverb
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